

Court File No. CV-25-00741419-00CL

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
***ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED**

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF 1001343933 ONTARIO INC. AND
YARFIELD SERVICES LIMITED

Applicants

SUPPLEMENT TO THE FIFTH REPORT OF THE CCAA MONITOR

OCTOBER 27, 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....4
PURPOSE4
UPDATE ON CRA POSITION OF APPLICANTS5
REVISED DISTRIBUTION WATERFALL.....6
BANKRUPTCY OF RESIDUALCO AND YARFIELD.....6
MCNALLY CLAIM AND HALTON PROGRESS PAYMENTS6
TERMINATION RESERVE AMENDMENT7
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS8

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix “A” – Lien Regularization Order dated April 17, 2025

Appendix “B” – Endorsement of Justice Osborne dated August 18, 2025

INTRODUCTION

1. This supplement to the Fifth Report of the Monitor (the “**Supplemental Fifth Report**”) is provided in reference to the Monitor’s Fifth Report dated October 20, 2025 (the “**Fifth Report**”). All capitalized terms used but not defined in this Supplemental Fifth Report shall have the meanings given to them in the Fifth Report. This Supplemental Fifth Report should be read in conjunction with the Fifth Report.

PURPOSE

2. The purpose of this Supplemental Fifth Report is to provide an update to the Court on the following:
 - (a) the Applicants’ position regarding Pre-Filing Source Deductions, Pre-Filing HST Arrears, and post-filing HST refunds (the “**Post-Filing HST Refunds**”);
 - (b) the proposed Distributions and distribution waterfall arising from the new information received from CRA;
 - (c) the bankruptcy of the Applicants, ResidualCo and Yarfield Services Limited (“**Yarfield**”);
 - (d) discussions with McNally and the Region of Halton regarding the Halton Progress Payments and the McNally Claim; and
 - (e) the amendment to the Termination Reserve provided for in the TARIO.
3. The Monitor relies on the affidavit of Eugene Woodbridge sworn April 16, 2025 (the “**Initial Woodbridge Affidavit**”), the affidavit of Eugene Woodbridge sworn April 23, 2025 (the “**Second Woodbridge Affidavit**”), the further affidavit of Eugene Woodbridge sworn May 23, 2025 (the “**Third Woodbridge Affidavit**”), the further affidavit of Eugene Woodbridge sworn August 6, 2025 (the “**Fourth Woodbridge Affidavit**”), the further affidavit of Eugene Woodbridge sworn September 3, 2025 (the “**Fifth Woodbridge Affidavit**”), with regard to the Proposed Monitor’s Report, the First Report, the Second Report, the Third Report, the Fourth Report, the Fifth Report, and this Supplemental Fifth Report (together, the “**Monitor’s Reports**”).

4. The Initial Order, Lien Regularization Order, the TARIO, the Sale Process Order, the Approval and Reverse Vesting Order, and all other materials filed with the Court in these CCAA proceedings are accessible on the Monitor's website at: <https://www.bdo.ca/services/financial-advisory-services/business-restructuring-turnaround-services/current-engagements/earth-boring-co-limited> (the "**Monitor's Website**"). All court documents and certain other relevant documents have been and will continue to be posted as they are made available.

UPDATE ON CRA POSITION OF APPLICANTS

5. As set out in the Fifth Report, at the time of the initial application, the Applicants advised the company's pre-filing HST and source deduction positions were as follows:
 - (a) *Pre-Filing Source Deductions*: The Initial Woodbridge Affidavit described the Applicants pre-filing source deductions as being in the approximate amount of \$851,624 (the "**Pre-Filing Source Deductions**").
 - (b) *Pre-Filing HST Arrears*: The Initial Woodbridge Affidavit described that, as of February 28, 2025, the Applicants had pre-filing HST arrears in the approximate amount of \$457,000 for EBCL (the "**Pre-Filing HST Arrears**").
6. Following the closing of the Transaction, the Monitor was working with New EBCL to confirm the Pre-Filing Source Deductions and Pre-Filing HST Arrears amounts with CRA.
7. Since the delivery of the Fifth Report, counsel for CRA has contacted counsel for the Monitor and advised that a pre-filing HST refund in the approximate amount of \$786,464.49 stands to the credit of the Applicants, while there is a pre-filing HST liability for the account of the Applicants in the amount of \$140,926.02 plus an unsecured portion of source liability in the amount of \$14,392.48, leaving a net amount payable to the Applicants for pre-filing tax matters equal to \$631,145.99.
8. Counsel for CRA has further advised that it has determined that a post-filing HST refund of \$324,915.55 stands to the credit of the Applicants' account. The Monitor believes that

this post-filing entitlement for ResidualCo will actually be greater than this amount as input tax credits will be generated from payment of certain remaining professional fees from these CCAA Proceedings under the Administration Charge.

9. Based on the further advice of counsel for CRA, it appears that the non-Vendor and remaining Applicant, Yarfield, may have a liability for source deductions equal to \$724,802.67. As Yarfield has no assets, there will not be any distribution on account of this claim and the Monitor understands from discussions with counsel to CRA that CRA acknowledges and accepts this.

REVISED DISTRIBUTION WATERFALL

10. Based on the above-noted information from and discussions with counsel to CRA and the Monitor's further investigations, the Monitor anticipates that the Vendors/ResidualCo will be in a pre-filing net credit position with CRA, not a debit position. Accordingly, CRA will not be included in the distribution waterfall and the draft Distribution and Ancillary Relief Order has been revised to reflect this change.

BANKRUPTCY OF RESIDUALCO AND YARFIELD

11. As anticipated and noted in the Fifth Report, the Monitor filed an assignment into bankruptcy for ResidualCo on Monday, October 27, 2025.
12. CRA has requested that the Monitor also cause Yarfield to make an assignment into bankruptcy and the Monitor anticipates doing so forthwith, pursuant to paragraph 38 of the Approval and Reverse Vesting Order.

MCNALLY CLAIM AND HALTON PROGRESS PAYMENTS

13. The Monitor has continued to engage in discussions with McNally and the Region of Halton since the Fifth Report. At the request of the Region of Halton, and in consultation with McNally, the Monitor is seeking an endorsement clarifying that the Region of Halton is entitled to the protections of the LRO in connection with the Halton Progress Payments. McNally does not oppose this.

14. For reference, a copy of the Lien Regularization Order dated April 17, 2025 and the Endorsement of Justice Osborne dated August 18, 2025 relating to the Halton Progress Payments are attached as **Appendix “A”** and **“B”**, respectively.

TERMINATION RESERVE AMENDMENT

15. Paragraph 39 of the Approval and Reverse Vesting Order established a termination reserve of \$1 million to fund the remainder of these CCAA Proceedings and any subsequent BIA proceedings of the Applicants (the **“Termination Reserve”**).

16. The Applicants, Monitor, New EBCL, and BMO’s understanding and intention was that the Monitor would return any unused portion of the Termination Reserve to be held and dealt with as Sale Proceeds, which returned amounts would be subject to the claims of all creditors against ResidualCo whose claims were not Retained Liabilities and rank against ResidualCo and the Sale Proceeds with the same priority as they had with respect to the Vendors’ Property immediately prior to the Transaction.

17. Paragraph 39 of the Approval and Reverse Vesting Order inadvertently provided that “Any unused portion of the Termination Reserve after payment or reservation for all costs associated with the Termination Reserve, shall be transferred by the Monitor and/or Bankruptcy Trustee to the Purchaser.” (Emphasis added.)

18. The Monitor proposes to amend the Termination Reserve provision to reflect the parties intentions by amending paragraph 39 of the Approval and Reverse Vesting Order as follows: “Any unused portion of the Termination Reserve after payment or reservation for all costs associated with the Termination Reserve, shall be returned by the Monitor to be held and dealt with as Sale Proceeds.” (Emphasis added.)

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

19. The Monitor recommends that the relief requested herein be approved.

All of which is respectfully submitted this 27th day of October, 2025

**BDO CANADA LIMITED, in its capacity
As CCAA Monitor of the Applicants, and
not in its corporate or personal capacity.
Per:**



**Clark Lonergan, CA, CPA, CIRP, LIT
Partner/Senior Vice President**

APPENDIX A

Court File No.

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

THE HONOURABLE) THURSDAY, THE 17TH
)
MADAM JUSTICE STEELE) DAY OF APRIL, 2025

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF
EARTH BORING CO. LIMITED, YARBRIDGE HOLDINGS INC., TROLAN
INVESTMENTS LTD., AND YARFIELD SERVICES LIMITED (Collectively, the
"**Applicants**")

APPLICANTS

LIEN REGULARIZATION ORDER

THIS MOTION, made by the Applicants, pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the "**CCAA**"), was heard this day by videoconference.

ON READING the Notice of Application, affidavit of Eugene Woodbridge sworn April 16, 2025 and the Exhibits thereto ("**Woodbridge Affidavit**"), the Pre-Filing Report of BDO Canada Limited, as the proposed monitor (if so appointed, the "**Monitor**"), and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicants, counsel for the Monitor, counsel for the Bank of Montreal, and such other parties as listed on the Participant Information Form, with no one appearing for any other person although duly served as appears from the affidavit of service of Samantha Currie sworn April 17, 2025, filed,

Service

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service of the Notice of Application and the Application Record is hereby abridged and validated so that this Application is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.

Defined Terms

2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, for the purposes of this Order, the following definitions shall apply:

(a) **"Bond"** means a labour & material and/or a performance Bond provided by a Bonding Company in support of an EBCL Project;

(b) **"Bonded Off Lien"** means a lien:

(i) that attaches to the land and has been the subject of a registered claim for lien and a certificate of action in respect of that lien has been issued, or

(ii) that does not attach to the land, where a notice of lien has been given;

which lien has been vacated from title and/or as a charge upon the Holdback upon the posting of security, which security is subject to claims of all Persons having a lien pursuant to the Construction Act;

(c) **"Bonding Company"** means Aviva Insurance Company of Canada;

(d) **"EBCL Project"** means those construction projects set out in **Schedule "A"** for which the Applicants or any of them are a contracting party and which are the Continuing EBCL Projects as defined in the Woodbridge Affidavit;

(e) **"Filing Date"** means April 17, 2025;

(f) **"Holdback"** means Statutory Holdback or Notice Holdback;

- (g) “**Lien Bond**” means a bond or other security posted in respect of a Bonded Off Lien;
- (h) "**Lien Claims**" mean the claims of any person who supplied services and/or materials to preserve or perfect their liens, including by way of notice of lien, pursuant to the *Construction Act*;
- (i) "**Notice Holdback**" means any further amounts beyond the Statutory Holdback required to be, or in fact, withheld from one of the Applicants as a payee by a payor as a result of notice by any Person claiming a lien pursuant to the *Construction Act*;
- (j) "**Owner**" means a person or entity who is the legal owner of the premises comprising the site of an EBCL Project; and
- (k) "**Statutory Holdback**" means the 10%, or the other applicable percentage required by the *Construction Act*, of the value of services or materials supplied under a contract or subcontract required to be withheld by a payor from one of the Applicants as a payee.

3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, unless otherwise indicated or defined herein, capitalized terms shall have the meaning given to them in the Initial Order granted by this Court on April 17, 2025 (as amended, the “**Initial Order**”).

Stay of Lien Claims pursuant to the Construction Act

4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that no Person shall be permitted to preserve or perfect a Lien Claim under the *Construction Act* with respect to any EBCL Project and that any Lien Claim in respect of an EBCL Project be and hereby is stayed and any person seeking to enforce such a claim shall be required to seek the rights and remedies set out in this Order. However, for greater

certainty, the Applicants shall be entitled to preserve and perfect their Lien Claims, including the commencement and continuation of legal proceedings, under the Construction Act or otherwise in favour of the Applicants.

EBCL Projects

5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any Lien Claim that has been preserved or perfected by any Person, or for which notice of lien has been given by any Person, in respect of an EBCL Project (a "**Preserved Lien Claimant**"), which is not a Bonded Off Lien as of the date of this Order, be and is hereby vacated on terms that any Person having such a Lien Claim shall be deemed to have provided the Lien Notice referred to in paragraph 11 herein on the date of preservation or delivery of notice of such Lien Claim, and shall be entitled to the Lien Charge referred to in paragraph 12 herein, *provided that* the vacating of preserved liens pursuant to this paragraph shall not be deemed to cure any default triggered by the filing of a lien under any contract with any Owner.

6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that upon the registration in the applicable land registry office of a certified copy of this Order in the manner prescribed by the applicable land registry office, the applicable land registrar is hereby directed to specifically vacate a Lien Claim referred to in paragraph 5 herein.

7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any Person having a Bonded Off Lien as of the date of this Order shall be deemed to have provided the Lien Notice referred to in paragraph 11 herein on the date of registration of such Lien Claim and shall also be entitled to the Lien Charge referred to in paragraph 12 herein.

8. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any requirements for any Lien Claims to be perfected or set down for trial pursuant to the *Construction Act* are hereby deemed to have been complied with.

9. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any trial dates that are currently set between the date of

this Order and the Stay Period (as defined in the Initial Order dated April 17, 2025 and as may be amended by further order) or such later date as may be subsequently ordered by this Court, with respect to any Lien Claim action by any Person in respect of an EBCL Project be and are hereby vacated.

10. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any requests for information to the Applicants or other interested parties pursuant to the *Construction Act*, including any requests pursuant to section 39 of that Act whether outstanding or delivered after the date of this Order (the “**Information Requests**”), are hereby stayed pursuant to the terms of this Order. However, the Monitor, in consultation with the Applicants, or as directed by this Court, may provide any information in respect of an Information Request as the Monitor deems appropriate or as the Court directs.

Treatment of Lien Claims

11. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that unless deemed to have delivered a Lien Notice in accordance with this Order, any Person who wishes to assert a Lien Claim after the Filing Date in respect of an EBCL Project (the “**Asserting Lien Claimant**”), whether in respect of materials and/or services supplied before or after the Filing Date shall deliver by email a notice in the form attached as **Schedule "B"** hereto (the “**Lien Notice**”), to the following persons (collectively, the “**Lien Notice Parties**”): the Monitor c/o Clark Lonergan (clonergan@bdo.ca), with a copy to the Monitor’s counsel c/o Clifton Prophet and Heather Fisher (clifton.prophet@gowlingwlq.com; heather.fisher@gowlingwlq.com), and with a copy to the Applicants c/o Caitlin Fell (cfell@reconllp.com), within the time frames prescribed by the *Construction Act* in order to preserve and perfect their Lien Claim for that EBCL Project. With respect to any EBCL Project for which a Bond has been issued, in addition to delivering the Lien Notice to the Lien Notice Parties, an Asserting Lien Claimant shall deliver by email a copy of the Lien Notice to counsel to the Bonding Company c/o Rich Yehia (ryehia@blg.com). For the purposes of this Order, any Preserved Lien Claimant shall be deemed to be an Asserting Lien Claimant that has delivered a

Lien Notice in accordance with this paragraph.

12. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Asserting Lien Claimant, upon delivering or being deemed to have delivered a Lien Notice in accordance with this Order, be and is hereby granted a charge (the “**Lien Charge**”) against the Applicants’ property in the applicable EBCL Project in respect of which the Lien Claim arises equivalent to, and only to the extent of, any security granted under the *Construction Act* for such Lien Claim. Without limiting the generality of and subject to the foregoing, a Lien Charge shall attach to the following: (i) any property of the applicable Applicant that, pursuant to the *Construction Act*, would be subject to a charge securing the underlying Lien Claim secured by such Lien Charge; (ii) property of any Owners of the real property pertaining to the EBCL Project in question that, pursuant to the *Construction Act*, would be subject to a charge securing the underlying Lien Claim secured by such Lien Charge (if any); (iii) any Holdback in the hands of a payor of the Applicant against which the Asserting Lien Claimant’s Lien Claim described in the Lien Notice would otherwise have a charge pursuant to the *Construction Act*; and (iv) any rights (if any) under an applicable Lien Bond, without prejudice to the right of the Bonding Company who has posted such Lien Bond to seek by court order the release of such Lien Bond and any other related relief, provided that the Bonding Company shall be subrogated to any rights related to such Lien Claim as set out above. For greater certainty, a Lien Charge shall not attach to any property of any Applicant or other Person, or attach to any rights in a Lien Bond, unless such property or Lien Bond would otherwise have been charged with or subject to the lien underlying such Lien Charge pursuant to the *Construction Act*.

13. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that each Applicant, with the assistance and oversight of the Monitor, shall deposit all funds received by such Applicant on account of an EBCL Project into one or more bank accounts, and shall keep written records respecting the funds, detailing the amounts that are received into and paid out of the funds, and any transfers made for the purposes of any EBCL Project and shall maintain such records on a project-by-project basis, such that all

funds received on account of an EBCL Project are traceable to such EBCL Project and the depositing of funds into one bank account in accordance with this paragraph shall not constitute a breach of trust.

14. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any funds received by an Applicant on account of an EBCL Project may only be paid in satisfaction of, first, any amounts payable in respect of obligations secured under the Charges (as defined in the Initial Order), second any trust obligations in relation to such EBCL Project, and, after satisfaction of all trust obligations, fees, costs and expenses arising in connection with such EBCL Project or other project-specific financing advanced in respect of such EBCL Project, subject to further Order of this Court, and for greater certainty nothing herein shall affect the priorities set out in paragraph 53 of the Initial Order.

15. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that Lien Charges created by this Order shall not be rendered invalid or unenforceable, and the rights and remedies of the Asserting Lien Claimants entitled to the benefit of a Lien Charge shall not otherwise be limited or impaired in any way by (a) the pendency of these proceedings; (b) any application(s) for order(s) issued pursuant to the CCAA or any order made pursuant to such applications; (c) the filing of any assignments for the general benefit of creditors made pursuant to the CCAA; (d) the provisions of any federal or provincial statutes; or (e) any negative covenants, prohibitions or similar provisions with respect to borrowings, incurring debt or the creation of Encumbrances, contained in any existing loan documents, lease, sublease, offer to lease or other agreement (collectively, an “**Agreement**”) which binds any Applicant, and notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in any Agreement:

- (i) the creation of the Lien Charge, shall neither create nor be deemed to constitute a breach by any Applicant of any Agreement to which it is a party;
- (ii) the payments made by any Applicant, or any other Person or entity, pursuant to this Order, and the granting of the Lien Charge, does not and will not constitute

preferences, fraudulent conveyances, transfers at undervalue, oppressive conduct, or other challengeable or voidable transactions under any applicable law; and

- (iii) the Lien Charge shall be enforceable in any bankruptcy proceedings of any Applicant with the same priority as set out in paragraph 21 herein as against the property secured by the Lien Charge, including any Holdback.

Treatment of Holdback Funds

16. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any Person who is in possession of Holdback funds be and hereby is restrained from paying, setting-off, or encroaching upon such Holdback funds until the day after the last day upon which a claim for lien could have been registered/delivered for the relevant contract pursuant to the *Construction Act* but for the provisions of this Order, at which time or such other time as may be agreed to by the Monitor, such Person shall, subject only to any right of set-off claimed against Notice Holdback funds, pay the Holdback funds to the Monitor in trust, irrespective of whether any Lien Claims or Lien Notices have been made, delivered, preserved or perfected or written notice of any Lien Claim or Lien Notice has been received, provided that any exercise of set-off shall be subject to: (i) the consent of the Monitor and Applicants, who shall consult with the Person(s) who delivered Lien Notices for the applicable EBCL Project, (ii) or further order of the Court, on notice to the Monitor, Applicants, the applicable Bonding Company (only with respect to a EBCL Project for which there is a Bond), and the Person(s) who delivered Lien Notices on the applicable EBCL Project, and in the case of (i) or (ii), any of the foregoing parties shall be entitled to challenge such set-off, on motion to the Court (whether or not the Monitor consents to such set-off). Upon payment of the Holdback funds to the Monitor pursuant to this paragraph 16, the Person who was in possession of such Holdback funds shall be deemed to have been in the same position as if (i) no written notices of lien had been received, no Lien Claims had been made, asserted, delivered, preserved, or perfected, and (ii)

no Lien Notice had been received, and such Person shall have no further liability for such Holdback funds to any Person. For greater certainty, provided that Holdback funds have been paid to the Monitor. any Person who is in possession of Holdback funds, and who receives a written notice of lien, Lien Notice or other notice of a Lien Claim, shall not be required to retain Notice Holdback with regard to that written notice of lien, Lien Notice or other notice of a Lien Claim.

17. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any general contractor, Owner, and/or payor of any level above the level of the Applicants in connection with an EBCL Project shall have no liability whatsoever, whether pursuant to the *Construction Act*, any other law, equity, or otherwise, save and except for any gross negligence or wilful misconduct on its part, to any Person (including any subcontractor of any level to the Applicants, any other supplier of any level to the Applicants, or creditor of the Applicants) in connection with amounts paid to the Monitor on or after the Filing Date pursuant to the terms of this Order in respect of an EBCL Project.

18. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, for greater certainty, and subject to paragraph 4 of this Order or the terms of the Initial Order, nothing in this Order shall affect the rights of any Person under the *Construction Act* with respect to any rights pursuant to any Bond posted in favour of any such Person named in the applicable Bond, except any such claims against any of the Applicants shall require consent of the Monitor or leave of this Court to be commenced or continued.

19. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that with respect to a Bonded Off Lien, nothing in this Order affects any rights under or recourse of any Person under the *Construction Act* to any Lien Bond, or any other security posted with respect to such Bonded Off Lien (without prejudice to the right of any Bonding Company to seek the release of the Lien Bond or any other security posted with respect to any Bonded Off Lien and any other related relief), provided however that nothing in this Order restricts, limits or derogates from the stay of proceeding in favour of the Applicants set out

in paragraph 14 of the Initial Order.

20. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Monitor shall serve a copy of this Order on any Person known to the Monitor who is or may be in possession of a Holdback fund.

21. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Monitor may, at a time deemed by the Monitor to be appropriate after consulting with the Applicants, and shall, upon further order of this Court made on a motion brought on at least 7 days' notice to the Monitor and the Applicants, bring a motion on notice to the service list seeking the approval of a process for reviewing, determining or challenging the (i) validity or timeliness of a Lien Notice; (ii) validity or quantum of the amounts set out in the Lien Notice; (iii) validity or quantum of an Asserting Lien Claimants' entitlement to a Lien Charge under this Order; and (iv) the attachment or priority of a Lien Charge under this Order.

22. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Monitor may, if necessary and at a time deemed by the Monitor to be appropriate after consulting with the Applicants and the Bonding Companies, and shall, upon further order of this Court made on a motion brought on at least 7 days' notice to the Monitor, the Applicants and the Bonding Companies, bring a motion on notice to the Service List seeking the approval of a dispute resolution process among the Applicant and any general contractor, owner, and/or payor of any level above the level of the Applicants in connection with an EBCL Project.

General

23. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that in discharging its obligations under this Order, the Monitor (i) shall have all of the protections given to it by the CCAA, this Order and any other orders of the Court in these CCAA Proceedings; (ii) shall incur no liability or obligation as a result of carrying out matters or any act or omission in connection with this Order; (iii) shall be entitled to rely on the books and records of the Applicants and any information provided by the Applicants, all

without independent investigation; (iv) shall not be liable for any claims or damages resulting from any errors or omissions in such books, records or information; and (v) may seek such assistance as may be required to carry out matters in connection with this Order from the Applicants or any of their subsidiaries.

24. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Monitor may from time to time apply to this Court for advice and directions in respect of the discharge of its powers and duties hereunder.

SCHEDULE "A" – Projects to which the Order applies

Job#	Project Owner	Customer	Job Name
21015	Halton Region	C&M McNally	South Georgetown Wastewater Servicing
23010	Town of Lincoln	Town of Lincoln	Lincoln Watermain
24019	County of Simcoe	Primrose	SimcoeCounty Rd 21
23007	Rose Corporation	Clarose Midhurst GP Inc.	Midhurst Heights
23002	Alectra	Aecon Utilities Inc	Elexicon - South Blair
23011	TTC	Bronte Construction	Sheppard Station
24017	Ottawa Public Works	Tomlinson Group	Confederation Heights
24010	537 Kingstson Rd East Holdings Inc	Sora Group Inc.	537 Kingston Road East
24016	County of Brant	L82 Construction Ltd.	Dundas Street East
24009	Corporation of Welland	Peters Construction Group	Dain City Watermain
24005	Metrolinx	Alliance Verdi	Metrolinx
24002	Metrolinx	Clearway	PAPE
24012	Niagara Region	Centennial Construction	Chippawa Parkway Foundation
24004	Waterloo Region	Steed & Evans	Foundry St Snyders Rd Reconstruction
25002	Lakefront Utility Service Inc.	Behan Construction Limited	Darcy Street Elevated Tank
25004	ENWIN	Amico Infrastructure Inc.	Enwin Feedermain Phase 2 Project
23012	Region of Peel	Region of Peel	Trenchless Crossing – Heart Lake, Elgin, Torbram
24001	City of Hamilton	City of Hamilton	Garner Road Trunk SS

SCHEDULE "B"

FORM OF LIEN NOTICE TO MONITOR

Name of Lien Claimant:

Address for Service:

Name of Owner:

Name of person to whom lien claimant supplied services or materials:

.....

Address:.....

Time within which services or materials were supplied:

from: to
(date supply commenced) (date of most recent supply)

Short description of services or materials that have been supplied:

.....
Contract price or subcontract price: \$.....

Amount claimed as owing in respect of services or materials that been supplied:
\$.....

(Use **A** where the lien attached to the premises; use **B** where the lien does not attached to the premises).

A. The lien claimant (if claimant is personal representative or assignee this must be stated) claims a lien against the interest of every person identified above as an owner of the premises described in Schedule A to this claim for lien.

B. The lien claimant (if claimant is personal representative or assignee this must be stated) claims a charge against the holdbacks required to be retained under either the *Construction Act*, RSO 1990, c. C.30 and any additional amount owed by a payor to the contactor or to any subcontractor whose contract or subcontract was in whole or in part performed by the services or materials that have been supplied by the lien claimant in relation to the premises at:

.....

(address or other identification of the location of the premises

Date:.....

Per:.....

(signature of claimant or agent)

I have authority to bind the corporation

SCHEDULE A TO NOTICE TO MONITOR

To the claim for lien of

.....

Description of premises:

(Where the lien attaches to the premises, provide a description of the premises for registration under the *Land Titles Act* or the *Registry Act*, as the case may be).

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C.
1985, c.C-36 AS AMENDED

Court File No.

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF
EARTH BORING CO. LIMITED ET AL.

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

Proceedings commenced at Toronto

LIEN REGULARIZATION ORDER

RECONSTRUCT LLP
80 Richmond Street West
Suite 1700
Toronto, ON M5H 2A4

Caitlin Fell LSO No. 60091H
cfell@reconllp.com
Tel: 416.613.8282

R. Brendan Bissell LSO No. 40354V
bbissell@reconllp.com
Tel: 416.613.0066

Jessica Wuthmann LSO No. 72442W
jwuthmann@reconllp.com
Tel: 416.613.8288

Gabrielle Schachter LSO No. 80244T
gschachter@reconllp.com
Tel: 416.613.4881

Lawyers for the Applicants

APPENDIX B



**ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COMMERCIAL LIST**

COUNSEL/ENDORSEMENT SLIP

COURT FILE NO.: CV-25-00741419-00CL

DATE: August 18, 2025

NO. ON LIST: 2

TITLE OF PROCEEDING: EARTH BORING CO. LIMITED et al. v. THE TOWN OF LINCOLN et al.

BEFORE: JUSTICE OSBORNE

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION

For Plaintiff, Applicant, Moving Party:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Brendan Bissel	Lawyer for The Applicants, Earth Boring Co. Limited, Yarbridge Holdings Inc., Trolan Investments Ltd., and Yarfield Services Limited	bbissel@reconllp.com

For Respondent, Responding Party:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Steven Weisz	Lawyer for The Respondent, The Town of Lincoln	sweisz@cozen.com
Adrienne Ho	Lawyer for BMO	aho@airdberlis.com
Cliff Prophet	Lawyers for The Monitor	clifton.prophet@gowlingwlg.com
Heather Fisher		heather.fisher@gowlingwlg.com
Rich Yehia	Lawyer for The Surety, Aviva	ryehia@blg.com
Robert J. Kennaley	Lawyer for C&M McNally / Triad JV	rjk@kennaley.ca

ENDORSEMENT OF JUSTICE OSBORNE:

- [1] This Case conference was scheduled by the Monitor, to address a proposed motion by the General Contractor regarding the treatment of certain funds owed for work completed on the Halton Project, and speak to a motion by Aviva returnable this Wednesday, August 20, and the status of the SISP.
- [2] With respect to the treatment of the funds, the parties have come to an interim agreement and jointly request that it be reflected in this Endorsement as follows:
On consent of the affected parties (EBCL, the Monitor, McNally, Aviva, and BMO as DIP lender, the “Affected Parties”), the issue relating to entitlement to the current payment by Halton to EBCL of the sum of approximately \$678,000 will be dealt with, if necessary, on a date to be scheduled after the Holdback Release Date (defined below) or on consent. Pending resolution of this dispute and following the date of the payment to EBCL (the “Holdback Release Date”) of the basic 10% holdback being maintained by Halton (the “Basic Holdback”), the Affected Parties agree that EBCL, the Monitor and BMO as DIP lender shall cause the sum of \$678,000 to be reserved out of the Basic Holdback and not dealt with except on consent of all Affected Parties or by Order of the Court obtained following a hearing conducted on a schedule acceptable to the parties acting reasonably. For the avoidance of doubt, the \$678,000 being currently received from Halton will be applied in the ordinary course as contemplated by the most recent EBCL cash flow.
- [3] In my view, the proposed language is appropriate and I so endorse it.
- [4] With respect to the motion by Aviva, that may be resolved on consent, and the parties will advise the Commercial List office.
- [5] The SISP continues. The Monitor may seek on August 20, the scheduling of a sale approval motion depending on how far things advance by that date.

A handwritten signature in green ink that reads "Osborne J." with a comma at the end.