

TAX BULLETIN

April 2010

CONTENTS

- U.S. income tax requirements
- U.S. reporting rules for RRSPs and RRFs
- Other Canadian deferred income plans
- U.S. Social Security
- Investments in foreign corporations
- Estate tax
- Gift tax
- Foreign tax credits
- Relinquishing U.S. citizenship
- Summary

Tax Consequences for U.S. Persons in Canada

To ensure compliance with the U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we inform you that any tax advice that may be contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or applicable state or local tax law provisions or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

Given the close relations between Canada and the U.S., it is not uncommon to find U.S. citizens living in Canada. Some may be in Canada as the result of a temporary employment transfer, while others may have been living here for several years. As a U.S. citizen, you continue to have obligations for U.S. tax purposes, even though you may be resident in Canada. Note that these obligations also apply to “green card” holders who are treated like U.S. citizens for U.S. tax purposes. This bulletin outlines various U.S. tax obligations that these U.S. persons need to be aware of, even while living in Canada.

U.S. income tax requirements

Liability for U.S. income tax is based on citizenship, as well as residence. As a U.S. person, you must file annual U.S. income tax returns regardless of where you live or how long you have been away from the U.S. For U.S. tax purposes, you must report your worldwide income from all sources. You can, however, claim a credit against your U.S. tax liability for taxes you pay in Canada or where the income is earned. In many cases, the credit will be enough to eliminate any U.S. tax liability since Canadian taxes are generally higher.

As a result of the U.S. alternative minimum tax (AMT), in some cases prior to 2005, there was an additional U.S. tax liability that couldn't be eliminated with a foreign tax credit claim. However, for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2004, the U.S. AMT rules have changed so that many U.S. persons resident in Canada may not be subject to the additional AMT. Note that other non-tax information reporting requirements may apply.

Canadian tax law will limit foreign tax credit claims allowed to U.S. persons. It is Canada's position that foreign tax credits will only be permitted for taxes that would be paid by Canadian residents (non-U.S. persons) in a similar situation. This may result in additional tax costs for U.S. persons living in Canada.

Filing deadlines

Normally you must file your U.S. return for a particular year no later than April 15th of the year following the year in issue. However, there is an automatic extension to June 15th if you are resident outside the U.S. on April 15th. For example, if you are a U.S. person and are resident in Canada on April 15, 2010, you must file your 2009 U.S. tax return by June 15, 2010.

Penalties

If you ignore your U.S. filing obligation because you do not owe any U.S. taxes and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) discovers that you did not file, you may lose the right to make certain important elections, such as the election to defer income earned in a Registered Retirement Savings Plan (RRSP) or Registered Retirement Income Fund (RRIF) (discussed in the next section), or to claim the "foreign earned income exclusion". In the case of the foreign earned income exclusion, the exclusion could be denied if the tax return is not filed within one year of the original April 15th deadline for that year.

In addition to penalties and the potential loss of certain elections, problems can arise when you decide to return to the U.S. if you have not complied with your U.S. tax obligations while you were away. Previously unpaid taxes and late returns (which may subject you to interest and penalties) can make returning to the U.S. much more difficult.

In other cases, problems may arise on your death if you die owing unpaid taxes or if you failed to file returns, especially if your executor is also a U.S. person (perhaps, a son or daughter living in the U.S.). For example, the executor can be held personally liable for the unpaid tax liabilities of the estate.

If you travel on a U.S. passport, you may have difficulty getting your passport renewed if you cannot provide evidence that all required tax filings have been made.

Some taxpayers – especially those who do not plan on ever living in the U.S. again – take the position that the IRS will never catch up with them if they live in Canada and even if the U.S. government does, it would never be able to collect. Well, that's not true. Under the Canada-U.S. Tax Treaty, the IRS can solicit the Canada Revenue Agency's (CRA's) assistance in collecting unpaid taxes from U.S. persons residing in Canada which the IRS has established to have been due in the last 10 years.

If you have not been filing your U.S. income tax returns, it appears that the IRS will permit you to file tax returns for the past three years to bring yourself up to date (note that if you have a tax liability in any of the past three years, the IRS will want two more years of past returns for a total of five years). However, the IRS reserves the right to go back further than five years. Contact your BDO advisor for assistance with filing your U.S. income tax returns.

U.S. reporting rules for RRSPs and RRIFs

If you own a Canadian RRSP or RRIF, under U.S. domestic law, you are required to include income and gains earned inside your RRSP or RRIF in your taxable income for U.S. tax purposes on a current year basis, rather than when this income is withdrawn (which is the case for Canadian tax purposes). Due to this timing mismatch, double taxation can result. However, there is relief under the Canada-U.S. Tax Treaty, which allows a U.S. person to elect to defer recognition of the income and gains in their U.S. taxable income until such time as the income is withdrawn from their RRSP or RRIF. Where this election is made, the timing of the taxation of the accrued RRSP or RRIF income will be the same in Canada and the U.S.

However, the election can generally only be made if you file your U.S. income tax return for the year in question on time. As discussed below,

Form 8891 is used to make the election to defer RRSP and RRIF income not yet withdrawn.

The IRS has special reporting requirements for U.S. persons who have RRSPs or RRIFs (which apply whether or not an election has been made to defer current taxation of income earned in the RRSP or RRIF for U.S. purposes). Form 8891 must be completed if you hold an interest in an RRSP or RRIF, and the form must be attached to your U.S. tax return. The three purposes of this form are as follows:

- ◆ to report distributions received from Canadian RRSPs and RRIFs,
- ◆ to report contributions and undistributed earnings, and
- ◆ to make the election to defer U.S. income tax on income in an RRSP or an RRIF that has accrued, but has not been distributed.

A separate Form 8891 must be filed for each RRSP or RRIF for which there is a filing requirement. In the situation where you and your spouse file jointly, Form 8891 must be filed for each spouse. Annuitants and beneficiaries who are required to file Form 8891 will not be required to file Form 3520 - *Annual Return to Report Transactions with Foreign Trusts*.

The IRS has indicated that taxpayers must retain supporting documentation for the information required to be reported, including Canadian forms T4RSP, T4RIF, or NR4 and periodic or annual statements issued by the custodian of the RRSP or RRIF.

Other Canadian deferred income plans

As discussed earlier, Canada and the U.S. have worked together over the years to ensure that treatment of RRSPs and RRIFs by each is consistent. However, you should not assume this is true for all Canadian investments where special tax rules apply. For example, problems can arise where a U.S. person holds a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP) or a Retirement Compensation Arrangement (RCA), as discussed below.

Canadian RESPs

U.S. persons living in Canada can invest in RESPs - but doing so may have negative consequences for U.S. tax purposes. The main disadvantage is that, unlike RRSPs and RRIFs, U.S. persons cannot elect to defer the taxation of income earned in an RESP.

The U.S. tax implications for RESPs depend mainly on the residency of the contributing parent and the beneficiary child.

Contributing parent is a U.S. citizen or resident (foreign grantor trust): The income earned within the plan (excluding unrealized capital gains, but including Canadian Education Savings Grants) is taxable to the parent for U.S. tax purposes. There are no income tax consequences upon withdrawal of the funds. However, there is an element of double taxation. As noted, for U.S. tax purposes, the plan income will be taxable to the parent, but for Canadian tax purposes the income will generally be taxable in the hands of the child when they go to university or college.

Contributing parent is not a U.S. citizen or resident (foreign nongrantor trust): The income earned within the plan is not taxable to any party when earned. However, if the child is a U.S. citizen or resident, the accumulated income is taxable to the child upon withdrawal of the funds. A special prescribed tax and interest charge is calculated based on the accumulated income distributed from the plan, which achieves roughly the same result as if the income were taxed as it was earned over the life of the RESP.

Since an RESP is a foreign trust, U.S. persons who invest in them are subject to the U.S. reporting requirements for foreign trusts. The ability to obtain the tax treatment as described above can be jeopardized if the proper U.S. tax reporting forms are not completed. In certain cases, a portion of the original RESP contributions may be taxable to the beneficiary, if the appropriate forms are not filed. To understand the required reporting requirements, contact your BDO advisor.

If you are considering contributing to an RESP for your child in order to take advantage of the Canada Education Savings Grant, it is important to consider the consequences described above. In certain cases, it would be better for another

relative in Canada (who is not a U.S. person) to set up the RESP. For example, if a U.S. person marries a Canadian and they have a child (who is not a U.S. person), contributions by the parents of the Canadian spouse could be made for a grandchild, thereby avoiding the U.S. issues.

RCA payments

RCAs are a special type of trust established by an employer for an employee's retirement. The treaty deferral provisions under the Canada-U.S. treaty for RRSPs and RRIFs again do not apply to payments out of RCAs. As a result, if a U.S. person resident in Canada receives a payment out of an RCA there may be a mismatch with respect to both the income earned in the RCA and the contributions. For U.S. purposes, the contribution will be taxed as employment income at the time the employer contributes to the RCA and income in the RCA will be taxed as it is earned. For Canadian purposes, the contribution and the accumulated income are ultimately taxable when paid out to the employee (refundable tax is charged first, but is later refunded as payments are made to the beneficiary). Of course, the timing can provide a benefit where you are present in Canada for a short period or where you are contemplating giving up Canadian residence. However, RCAs may not be advisable for U.S. persons who will not return to the U.S.

If you are considering a Canadian deferred income plan, consult with your BDO advisor to determine the tax consequences from both a U.S. and Canadian tax perspective.

U.S. Social Security

As a U.S. person resident in Canada, if you receive U.S. Social Security payments, you will effectively have to include 85% of the payments in your Canadian income tax return. However, you will not be subject to tax on this income in the U.S., as you will be able to deduct the amount by claiming a treaty exemption on your U.S. income tax return.

The 2010 Federal Budget introduced changes for those Canadian residents (and their spouses or common-law partners eligible to receive survivor benefits) who have been in receipt of U.S. Social

Security benefits before January 1, 1996. Effective for benefits received on or after January 1, 2010, the inclusion rate will be reduced to 50% from 85%, basically reinstating the 50% inclusion rate that was in effect prior to 1996.

Investments in foreign corporations

As mentioned, U.S. persons must report their worldwide income for U.S. tax purposes. If a U.S. person invests in a foreign corporation (such as a Canadian corporation held by a U.S. citizen living in Canada), a potential deferral exists to the extent the U.S. person is not taxed until actual distributions are made by the foreign corporation. To prevent a deferral benefit on certain types of income, the U.S. has certain anti-deferral regimes in place. If you own or are considering the purchase of shares of certain types of non-U.S. corporations or mutual funds, you must be careful that you don't become subject to these anti-deferral regimes, which can capture foreign income earned in respect of the shares.

Two significant U.S. anti-deferral regimes include:

- ◆ Controlled Foreign Corporations (CFCs), and
- ◆ Passive Foreign Investment Companies (PFICs).

Note that a third anti-deferral regime also existed — known as Foreign Personal Holding Companies (FPHCs). However, the rules related to this regime were repealed effective for taxable years beginning January 1, 2005.

Generally speaking, if you are considering an interest in a non-U.S. corporation where more than 50% of the shares (based on votes and value) are owned by U.S. persons, or more than 75% of a corporation's income is passive income, or 50% or more of the corporation or mutual fund's assets are passive assets, you may be taxable for U.S. purposes under one of the two existing anti-deferral regimes. These regimes impose tax on a current basis, or on a deferred basis with an interest charge, on income earned by a U.S. person through the foreign entity. For example, you may be required to include a portion of the passive income of the non-U.S. entity in your taxable income in the year the income is earned

by the entity, even though you may not have received an actual distribution from the entity related to that passive income. This treatment can result in mismatches with the timing of Canadian tax and result in double taxation.

Another consequence under the PFIC regime (and the former FPHC regime) is that in certain situations, shares or trust units acquired by inheritance do not receive a "stepped-up basis" for U.S. tax purposes, which means that the person inherits the shares at their original cost, not at the fair market value of the shares on the date of death. Note, however, that with the repeal of the FPHC rules, a number of related issues will be eliminated where certain conditions are met.

Some Canadian estate planning for U.S. persons can put you right into the anti-deferral regime rules, especially the onerous PFIC rules. For example, although shares of an operating company may be exempt from these rules, the use of a Canadian holding company can create passive asset holdings and significant problems.

When U.S. persons are involved in Canadian estate freezes, U.S. income tax issues can arise, as well as estate and gift tax issues (discussed below). It is important to consider all of the U.S. tax issues when U.S. persons hold Canadian corporations or mutual funds. There is a potential for double taxation and significant penalties for not complying with U.S. tax filing requirements if U.S. tax rules are not considered. In particular, the IRS has implemented a procedural change beginning January 1, 2009, with respect to the assessment of penalties for information reporting with respect to certain foreign corporations (Form 5471). Specifically the IRS will impose a penalty of \$10,000 for each Form 5471 that is filed after the due date of the income tax return or does not include complete and accurate information that is required.

The rules regarding U.S. persons investing in non-U.S. entities are complex. If you are considering taking an interest in a private Canadian corporation or reorganizing an existing corporation, consult with your BDO advisor.

Estate tax

U.S. income tax is not the only concern for U.S. persons residing in Canada. On your death, U.S. estate tax could apply to the fair market value of your entire worldwide estate, not just those assets situated in the U.S.

In June 2001, the U.S. passed a law that phased out the U.S. federal estate tax by 2010. However, because of the way this legislation was enacted, the full repeal of the estate tax is only for one year — 2010. For 2011, the estate tax is to revert to the old rules prior to this law being enacted.

For years, it was unclear if the estate tax would ultimately be repealed. In 2009, the U.S. Congress was to consider a proposal that the estate tax, as it is in effect in 2009 (i.e. 2009 exemption of \$3.5 million U.S. and a top tax rate of 45%), be permanently extended with the exemption indexed to inflation. However no legislation was passed and under the current law, the estate tax has been repealed as of January 1, 2010 for 2010 only. It is uncertain when Congress may act to modify the current estate tax and if they do, whether they will make the changes retroactive to January 1, 2010. As indicated earlier, if Congress does not pass any further legislation, the estate tax would revert back to the old estate tax rules with a \$1 million U.S. exemption and a top tax rate of 55%.

As well, for 2010 there are new income tax basis rules that will apply to the cost base of property inherited on death. Previously, where an asset was subject to estate tax, the heirs of the deceased generally inherited the asset with a cost base for U.S. income tax purposes equal to fair market value on the date of death (though there are exceptions to this general rule). Due to the repeal of the estate tax for 2010, the cost base of the asset is not automatically increased to its fair market value but is generally transferred to the beneficiary at the lower of cost and the fair market value at the time of death for deaths occurring in 2010. This means that the beneficiary will have to pay U.S. tax on the disposition of the property on any appreciation in its value during the lifetime of the deceased.

Unlike the U.S., Canada does not have an estate tax. But, when Canadian residents die, they are deemed to dispose of all their capital property at fair market value, unless the property flows to a spouse. Fortunately, any U.S. estate tax that has to be paid on death may be eligible as a credit against Canadian income tax in the year of death on U.S. source gains and income. Similarly, any Canadian income taxes resulting from death may be eligible as a credit against U.S. estate tax. However, for deaths occurring in 2010, there is no U.S. estate tax paid in the year of death. Instead, the beneficiary will pay U.S. tax in the year the property is disposed of. Therefore, for deaths occurring in 2010, there will be no relief against Canadian taxes paid on capital gains triggered on the deemed disposition on death as a different taxpayer, the beneficiary, will ultimately pay the U.S. tax on this capital gain.

Contact your BDO advisor for more information on how the U.S. estate tax could impact you.

Gift tax

U.S. persons are subject to gift tax on the direct or indirect transfer of property by gift. The gift tax is imposed on the donor and applies to the extent that the value of the property transferred exceeds allowable exclusions and deductions. As well, reporting requirements are generally imposed on U.S. persons who receive aggregate foreign gifts in excess of \$13,000 U.S. during the year in 2009 or 2010. Like the estate tax, the gift tax rate was decreasing each year until 2009.

As previously mentioned, an estate freeze of a Canadian corporation can result in gift tax concerns if the freeze is not properly structured. If you give or receive a gift valued at more than \$13,000 in 2009 or 2010, you should discuss the consequences with your BDO advisor.

Foreign tax credits

Canada has become more aggressive on its taxation of worldwide income. To claim a foreign tax credit on foreign income, the income has to be subject to tax in the foreign jurisdiction (in other words, it can't be exempt in the foreign country). This will likely increase the overall tax cost of U.S.

persons who are residents of Canada, since they are no longer able to "force" foreign sourced income to maximize foreign tax credit claims in Canada.

Relinquishing U.S. citizenship

Given the onerous reporting requirements the U.S. imposes on its citizens regardless of where they reside, some U.S. citizens living permanently in Canada may wonder whether it is advantageous (for tax purposes) to relinquish their U.S. citizenship.

Unfortunately, there are rules in place which allow the IRS to levy an "exit tax" on expatriations after June 16, 2008 and for expatriations prior to June 17, 2008, rules which strengthen the U.S. government's ability to tax U.S. source income after an individual left the U.S. at the rates applicable to U.S. citizens rather than at lower tax rates that apply to non-resident aliens.

Expatriations after June 16, 2008

If you expatriated after June 16, 2008 you are subjected to the "exit tax" rule. The 2008 Heroes Act introduced a "mark-to-market" tax for U.S. citizens and long-term residents who expatriated from the U.S. after June 17, 2008. These provisions will apply to citizens or long-term residents (eight of the last 15 years) if you meet one of the following conditions:

- ◆ Your average annual net income tax liability for the five years preceding the date you relinquish your citizenship exceeds \$145,000 for 2009 and 2010 (\$139,000 for 2008).
- ◆ Your net worth at the date you relinquish your citizenship exceeds \$2 million.
- ◆ You failed to certify under penalties of perjury that you have complied with all of your tax obligations for the preceding five years; or
- ◆ You have not given notice of your expatriation.

Under this law, expatriating U.S. citizens and long-term residents will be deemed to have disposed of their property for fair market value on the day before expatriation and any gain on the deemed sale would be taxed without regard to any other provision in the U.S. tax code and any loss on the deemed sale would generally be taken into account to the extent otherwise provided in the code. Any net gain on the deemed sale is taxable to the extent it exceeds \$626,000 in 2009 and \$627,000 in 2010 (\$1.2 million for married individuals filing a joint return, both of whom relinquish their citizenship or terminate their residence).

The deemed sale rule will apply to all property interests held by an individual on the date of expatriation but would not, in general, apply to deferred earnings accounts which would remain subject to U.S. taxation post expatriation. The rule would appear to apply to U.S. real property interests even though they will be subject to tax post expatriation. The basis in the real property will be "stepped-up" to the extent the gain was realized upon expatriation. This is a change from the original proposal.

Expatriations between June 3, 2004 and June 16, 2008

If you expatriated between June 3, 2004 and June 16, 2008, you will be subject to U.S. tax as though you continued to be a U.S. citizen if you met the conditions outlined above.

The expatriate tax would also apply if you are present in the U.S. for more than 30 days in a calendar year during the 10 years following the date you relinquish citizenship (60 days for individuals working in the U.S. for an unrelated employer). Dual citizens and minors who have had no substantial contact with the U.S. will not be subject to the rules although they will need to provide certification that they have complied with their U.S. filing obligations.

Note also that the expatriate tax will apply only if it is greater than the amount of tax that would

otherwise be imposed if you are taxed as a nonresident alien.

Certain adjustments will be made to income and deductions in this calculation

Changes under the Fifth Protocol to the Canada-U.S. Tax Treaty, ratified on December 15, 2008, clarify that long-term residents returning to Canada are also subject to the expatriation tax rules described above. A long-term resident is any individual other than a U.S. citizen who is a lawful permanent resident in the U.S. (i.e. green card holder) in at least eight taxable years during the period of 15 taxable years ending in the year in which the residency termination occurs. An individual will not be treated as a lawful permanent resident for any taxable year if he or she is treated as a resident of Canada under the Canada-U.S. Tax Treaty and the individual does not waive the benefits of the treaty.

Summary

We strongly encourage all clients to comply with IRS filing requirements. It is important to remember that although you are a Canadian resident, as a U.S. citizen or green card holder, you continue to have U.S. tax obligations. It's to your advantage to maintain yourself in good standing for future dealings with the U.S. authorities, particularly if you plan to return to the U.S. one day.

Don't let the situations discussed above happen to you - ensure that all of your required U.S. tax obligations are met on a timely basis. Your BDO advisor is ready to help.

The information in this publication is current as of April 20, 2010.

This publication has been carefully prepared, but it has been written in general terms and should be seen as broad guidance only. The publication cannot be relied upon to cover specific situations and you should not act, or refrain from acting, upon the information contained therein without obtaining specific professional advice. Please contact BDO Canada LLP to discuss these matters in the context of your particular circumstances. BDO Canada LLP, its partners, employees and agents do not accept or assume any liability or duty of care for any loss arising from any action taken or not taken by anyone in reliance on the information in this publication or for any decision based on it.

BDO Canada LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership, is a member of BDO International Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, and forms part of the international BDO network of independent member firms. BDO is the brand name for the BDO network and for each of the BDO Member Firms.